UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

:

SUSAN PINSKY,

JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.,

ECF

Plaintiff,

07 Civ. 3328 (CM) (HP)

- against -

DEFENDANT'S STATEMENT

PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 56.1(a) OF THE

MATERIAL UNDISPUTED

Defendant.

FACTS

Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMorgan Chase" or "the Bank"), incorrectly named herein as JP Morgan Chase & Co., by its attorneys, JPMorgan Chase Legal and Compliance Department, Frederic L. Lieberman, Assistant General Counsel, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 56.1(a) of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, submits that the following are material facts as to which no genuine issue exists and by virtue of which Defendant is entitled to summary judgment, denying all of Plaintiff's claims for relief, dismissing the Complaint and Plaintiff's action, and finding Plaintiff liable to Defendant as to each of Defendant's counter-claims.

The Parties

Plaintiff Susan Pinsky received a Bachelor of Arts degree from Baruch College in 1. June 2002. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 4; Exhibit "1" at page 4 and Exhibit "2".

All exhibits referred to in the supporting Affidavits of Frederic L. Lieberman ("Lieberman Aff."), Lisa A. Jelinski ("Jelinski Aff."), and Elisabeth M. Wells ("Wells Aff.") are annexed hereto. Citations to excerpts from the deposition transcripts of Plaintiff Susan Pinsky, Migdalia Centeno, Dee Lakhani, Miriam Negron, and Barbara Zimmer are shown as, respectively, "Pinsky __", "Centeno __", "Lakhani __", "Negron __", and "Zimmer __".

- 2. At the time she worked for the Bank, Pinsky had Series 7 and Series 66 securities licenses, as well as a Life & Health Insurance license, from a financial industry self-regulatory organization. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 4; Exhibit "1" at page 6; and Exhibit "2".
- 3. Between June 1997 and May 2005, Pinsky was employed as a Technical Recruiter for Interim Technology, The Consulting Group, as a Senior Technical Recruiter for Excel Partners, as a New York Metro Account Manager for Jobs.com, as a Financial Advisor for Merrill Lynch, as an Personal Financial Advisor Assistant for Chase Investment Services Corp., and as a Home Equity Loan Officer for the Bank. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 5; Exhibit "1" at pages 5-6; and Exhibit "2".
- 4. Defendant Bank is a national banking association. See Exhibit "52" at ¶ 4. Pinsky's Employment with The Bank
- 5. Pinsky began her employment with Chase Investment Services Corp., a subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co., in November 2002, as a Personal Financial Advisor Assistant. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 6; Exhibit "1" at page 5; Exhibit "2"; and Exhibit "51" at ¶ 5.
- 6. Pinsky then was hired by JPMorgan Chase Bank, another subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase & Co., effective February 1, 2004, as a Home Equity Loan Officer ("HELO"). See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 3; Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 6; Exhibit "2"; and Exhibit "51" at ¶ 5.
- 7. The required qualifications for the HELO position include a Bachelors degree, two years experience with home finance sales, and knowledge of home lending industry and credit principals. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 3; Exhibit "3".
- 8. The Bank's HELOs were responsible for supporting all aspects of the Bank's Home Equity Sales Process, including developing referrals from Chase Business Partners and generating new business, training and coaching Retail Financial Services, Private Financial

Services and Small Business Financial Services personnel in managed branches regarding developing home equity referrals, and processing branch generated Home Equity leads. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 3; Exhibit "3".

9. Beginning in February 2005, Pinsky was assigned to work as a HELO at the Bank's retail branch located at 55 Water Street, New York, New York. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 6; Pinsky 1; Lakhani 24; Centeno 29.

The JPMorgan Chase & Co. Disability and Reasonable Accommodation Policy

- 10. JPMorgan Chase & Co., the Bank's holding company parent, has a domestic firmwide Disability and Reasonable Accommodation Policy (the "Reasonable Accommodation Policy"). See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 4; Exhibit "4".
- 11. The Reasonable Accommodation Policy in effect at the time Pinsky requested an accommodation stated that "JPMorgan Chase is committed to providing necessary and reasonable accommodations with respect to a known physical or mental disability of a qualified ... employee when needed ... to perform essential job functions." See Exhibit "4" at p. 1.
- 12. The Reasonable Accommodation Policy also stated that a "reasonable accommodation is a change in the work environment or in the way 'things are usually done' including, but not limited to, leave time, specialized equipment, etc., that enables a qualified person with a disability to perform the essential functions of his or her job and which does not cause an undue hardship to JPMorgan Chase...." See Exhibit "4" at p. 1.
- 13. The Reasonable Accommodation Policy provided that "[t]he reasonableness of an accommodation and the issue of undue hardship to the firm are evaluated on a case-by-case basis." See Exhibit "4" at p. 1.

14. The Reasonable Accommodation Policy also stated that "[i]n response to your request for a reasonable accommodation to perform the essential functions of your job, your Human Resources Business Partner ... will work together with you and your manager to evaluate your request and determine whether a reasonable accommodation is necessary and appropriate."

See Exhibit "4" at p. 1.

Pinsky's Request for a Reasonable Accommodation

- 15. On February 8, 2005, Pinsky first notified her Human Resources Business Partner, Barbara Zimmer, and her manager, Dee Lakhani, that she was requesting that she be provided with a standing desk because of back problems. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 7; Exhibit "5"; Pinsky 16.
- 16. A standing desk is one on which the height of the work surface can be adjusted and thus can be used either while standing or sitting. See Pinsky 16 and 18.
- 17. At that time, Zimmer advised Pinsky that she needed to submit medical documentation regarding her physical condition to the Bank's Medical Department. See Pinsky 16; Zimmer 21.
- 18. On February 24, 2005, Pinsky advised Zimmer that she had obtained medical documentation from her chiropractor. See Lieberman Aff, at ¶ 8; Exhibit "6"; Pinsky 16.
- 19. The chiropractor's note stated that Pinsky had "lower back pain and muscle spasm, secondary to an Acute Strain/Sprain Syndrome of the Lumbosacral Spine and pelvis", should "refrain from extended periods of sitting (not to exceed 20 to 30 minutes)", and "would benefit from the use of a 'standing desk', to avoid having to sit while working for more than the recommended time frame". See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 8; Exhibit "7".

- 20. According to Pinsky, she faxed a copy of the letter from her chiropractor to Miriam Negron of the Bank's Medical Department on February 24, 2005. See Pinsky 16.
 - 21. Negron is the Regional Manager of the Bank's Medical Department. Negron 19.
 - 22. Pinsky does not have any proof that her fax was received by Negron. Pinsky 16.
- 23. Ms. Negron does not have any recollection, and the Bank's Medical Department does not have any record, of receiving a facsimile from Pinsky on February 24, 2005. See Negron 20; Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 9.
- 24. On March 15, 2005, Pinsky advised Zimmer that she had not heard anything from the Medical Department regarding her request for a standing desk. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 10; Exhibit "8".
- 25. On March 17, 2005, in response, Zimmer asked Pinsky to whom in the Medical Department she had sent the facsimile. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 10; Exhibit "9".
- 26. On March 31, 2005, Pinsky responded that she remembered sending the facsimile to a person that Zimmer had identified for her, but admitted that she could not find her copy of the facsimile. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 10; Exhibit "10".
- 27. On April 4, 2005, Pinsky wrote again to Zimmer and told her that: "I still have no standing desk. Exactly how long do I have to wait for it. I don't remember who I faxed the info to. It was to the name and number you gave me." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 11; Exhibit "11".
- 28. Later that afternoon, Zimmer responded: "I believe that the person whose name we were originally given may have left the bank. I have asked someone else in Health Services to follow-up. I would also suggest that you ask your manager, Dee, to follow-up as well. They cannot give her medical information but they should be able to tell her the status of the request."

 See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 12; Exhibit "12".

- 29. On April 4, 2005, Pinsky faxed a copy of the letter from her chiropractor to the Medical Department. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 13; Exhibit "13".
- 30. On April 4, 2005, Cindy Chan, a nurse in the Bank's Medical Department, sent an email to Lakhani, Pinsky, and Zimmer approving Pinsky's request for a reasonable accommodation. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 13; Exhibit "14"; Pinsky 17.
- 31. That next day, Zimmer reminded Lakhani that: "since [Pinsky] is a HELO I assume that she has only 90 days remaining[, i]n that case, there may be less expensive alternatives that Medical can recommend [and u]sually the medical department calls the manager to discuss before just sending a request for the accommodation, I suggest that you call Cindy and have a conversation about the options." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 13; Exhibit "15".
- 32. On April 6, 2005, Pinsky wrote to Lakhani and Chan: "Do you know when my standing desk will be arriving? My back is killing me and right now I am working with my keyboard lodged atop a moving box." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 14; Exhibit "16".
- 33. Pinsky told Lakhani that "she needed a standing desk because she was having back pains" and Lakhani then spoke with "the [B]ranch to figure out where are we going to put this desk and of course we should let them know." Lakhani 25.
- 34. When Lakhani spoke with the Branch, she was told "about the customer service desk which sounded like the standing desk that [Pinsky] was talking about", so Lakhani asked Pinsky "is this okay?" and "[s]he said yes and that was our decision." Lakhani 25.
- 35. On April 8, 2005, Lakhani proposed to Zimmer and Chan an alternative accommodation to the standing desk. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 15; Exhibit "17".
- 36. In her email, Lakhani wrote that: "[M]y only concern is ordering this desk today, getting it a month from now and [Pinsky] using it for a total of 3 weeks (her last day is June

30th). Not only are we spending money on it, but the Water Street Branch will have no use for this desk afterwards and they will not have the space to leave it there so we will have to discard it. They will need to throw it away to make room for a banker (with a regular desk). I just spoke to Sales Manager there [Migdalia Centeno] and asked if Susan can stand at the Customer Service desk in the back of the branch there is no traffic) and she said it may work. I ran this by Susan this morning and she thought it would be a good idea as well. I don't know what a standing desks looks like but the way Susan described it to me, it is no different than the customer service desk. Let me know if this is okay or is it mandatory that I order this desk?" See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 15; Exhibit "17".

- 37. Migdalia ("Dolly") Centeno was the Assistant Branch Manager at the 55 Water Street branch during the time that Pinsky worked as a HELO at that branch. See Centeno 29.
- 38. Pinsky and Centeno discussed Pinsky's back problems and her need for an alternative desk arrangement. See Centeno 30.
- 39. After observing Pinsky working at a Customer Service Desk, Centeno asked Pinsky if that was the desk that she needed and Pinsky told her, "yes, this is what I need, this is exactly what I need." Centeno 30.
- 40. The Bank's Customer Service Desk is a taller desk, at least waist height or higher, at which Bank employees either can sit or stand. See Centeno 31, 33.
- 41. Employees who sit at the Bank's Customer Service Desk have adjustable chairs so that employees of different heights can use the chairs and Desk while working. <u>See</u> Centeno 31, 33.

- 42. On April 8, 2005, Chan advised Lakhani: "You do not need to order the desk as long as she can be accommodated by standing at the Customer Service Desk until she leaves her employment with JPMC on June 30th." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 15; Exhibit "18".
- 43. From on or about April 8, 2005 through May 31, 2005, Pinsky did not send any emails to Lakhani or Zimmer complaining about working at the Customer Service Desk or inquiring about the standing desk. See Lieberman Aff. ¶ 16.
- 44. Lakhani "asked [Pinsky] if a piece of furniture was okay, the customer service desk in the back", Pinsky eventually said yes, and Lakhani believed that the matter then was resolved. Lakhani 26.
- 45. Pinsky also told Centeno that using the Customer Service Desk was "fine", "[b]ecause it's what she needed". Centeno 32.
- 46. From on or about April 8, 2005 through May 31, 2005, Pinsky did not complain about working at the Customer Service Desk. Lakhani 27; Zimmer 22; Centeno 32.
- 47. On May 31, 2005, Pinsky complained to Lakhani and Zimmer about her back and asking whether there was "any word on [her] standing desk". See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 17; Exhibit "19".
- 48. On June 1, 2005, Lakhani replied to Pinsky: "I was under the impression that you were standing at the CS service desk in the back which is a standing desk and that you did not want to order one b/c you can stand at that desk. Dolly and I spoke about this and then I called you and you decided that it would be the best way to go. Am I missing something?" See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 17; Exhibit "20".
- 49. That same day, Pinsky responded to Lakhani: "Yes, you are missing quite a lot. I requested the standing desk originally in January. Then since nothing was done about it I called

HR and they had me put in a second request for it. Dolly came up with the idea of using the service desk a month after the second time the desk was requested. Although the service desk is a lot better than the regular desk, it lacks the ability to provide sufficient support when I actually do sit. All this time, I was under the impression that the desk had been ordered, and I was using the service desk until it arrived." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 17; Exhibit "21".

50. The next day, Zimmer wrote to Pinsky: "From what I recall, Chase Medical approved of the service desk as a reasonable accommodation for your needs. They would have based their decision on the information provided from your physician as to what you required. Since your position is being eliminated, and we were looking for a short term solution, this was deemed an appropriate way to meet your accommodation. There was not a desk ordered for you." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 17; Exhibit "22".

JPMorgan Chase & Co.'s Severance Pay Plan

- 51. JPMorgan Chase & Co. has a Severance Pay Plan that sets forth certain benefits that may be available to eligible employees. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 5; Exhibit "23".
- 52. The Severance Pay Plan offers various forms of assistance, including financial assistance, to employees "whose employment involuntarily terminates as a result of the events specified in this Plan". See Exhibit "23", p. iii.
- 53. One of the "events" specified in the Plan is "an elimination ... of the job position or functions held or performed by the Employee". See Exhibit "23", § 3.1(i)(c), pp. 5-6.
- 54. The Severance Pay Plan "condition[s] the effectiveness of the Notification and the payment of severance on the execution of a release". See Exhibit "23", § 3.1(iii), p. 6.
- 55. The Severance Pay Plan further provides that only "an Employee who is not on a Leave of Absence may be a participant" in the Plan. See Exhibit "23", § 3.1, p. 5.

The Bank Eliminates All HELO Positions and Proposes to Terminate Pinsky's Employment

- 56. Beginning in late 2004 and continuing through mid-2005, the Bank advised its Home Equity Loan Officers, including Pinsky, that it was going to eliminate all HELO positions. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 7; Pinsky 4; Zimmer 23; Lakhani 28.
- 57. On or about May 20, 2005, Lakhani provided Pinsky with formal written notice that her position was being eliminated and her employment would be terminated as of July 18, 2005. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 8; Exhibit "24" at p. 1; Pinsky 5.
- 58. The notice letter provided Pinsky with sixty (60) days paid notice of the elimination of her position and the proposed termination of her employment. See Exhibit "24" at p. 1.
- 59. The notice letter also offered Pinsky seven (7) weeks of severance pay if she did not obtain another position with JPMorgan Chase during that period, on condition that Pinsky execute a proposed Release Agreement. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 9; Exhibit "24" at p. 1.
- 60. The consideration offered Pinsky in exchange for her entering into the Release Agreement exceeded the benefits to which Pinsky already was entitled, since Pinsky had no other right to any severance benefits. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 9; Exhibits "23" p. 4 and "24".
- 61. The Bank encouraged Pinsky to consult an attorney regarding the proposed Release Agreement. See Exhibit "24" at p. 5.
- 62. Pinsky was given forty-five (45) days in which to consult an attorney regarding, and to consider, the proposed Release Agreement before needing to execute and return it in order to agree to its benefits. See Exhibit "24" at p. 5.
- 63. Pinsky read the release, "pretty much" understood it, but "really didn't think much of it". See Pinsky 6.

- 64. Pinsky had the notice letter and Release Agreement for between four and five weeks before she executed and returned the Release Agreement to the Bank. See Exhibits "24" and "25"; Pinsky 5.
- 65. On June 24, 2005, Pinsky executed the Release Agreement proposed in the May 20, 2005 notice letter and returned it to JPMorgan Chase. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 10 and Exhibit "25".
- 66. Under the terms of the Release Agreement executed by Pinsky, she agreed to "release to the fullest extent permitted by law JPMorgan Chase Bank (and any predecessor or successor entities thereof) and its and their affiliates, subsidiaries, parent corporations (including J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.) ... (hereinafter "JPMC" or "RELEASEES") from any and all suits, claims, charges, obligations, causes of action or demands in law or in equity ... arising from or relating to my employment relationship with JPMC and/or the termination thereof, from the beginning of the world up to and including the date of execution of this Release (whether known or unknown to me and including any continuing effects of any acts or practices prior to the date of execution of this RELEASE), including, but not limited to: ... any employment and/or benefit related claims under any federal, state or local law, employment law or civil rights law...." See Exhibit "25".

The JPMorgan Chase & Co. Disability Leave Policy

- 67. JPMorgan Chase & Co. has a domestic firm-wide Disability Leave Policy. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 6; Exhibits "26", "27" and "28".
- 68. The Disability Leave Policy in effect in June 2005, when Pinsky began her disability leave, stated that it "provides time off for employees when they have an illness or

injury that continues for more than one workweek." See Exhibit "26" at p. 1; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".

Document 41

- The Disability Leave Policy defines "disability" as a period greater than seven (7) 69. consecutive days when an employee is "unable to perform the material and substantial duties of [his/her] position, on an active employment basis". See Exhibit "26" at p. 1; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- The Disability Leave Policy stated that it "provides disability pay benefits in the 70. form of full or partial pay for eligible employees." See Exhibit "26" at p. 1; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- 71. The Disability Leave Policy stated that "It here is a one-week wait period, i.e., seven consecutive calendar days, for disability pay benefits." See Exhibit "26" at p. 2; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- The Disability Leave Policy stated that "[b]eginning on the eighth consecutive 72. calendar day of your disability leave, you may be eligible to receive disability pay benefits at either 100% or 60% pay (up to a total of 25 weeks within a calendar year), in accordance with the Disability Pay Benefits Schedule below [: for 3 to 4 years of recognized service, 7 weeks at 100% and 18 weeks at 60%]." See Exhibit "26" at pp. 2-3; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- The Disability Leave Policy stated that "[i]n the event you continue to be disabled 73. as defined within this policy after taking an approved 26 week disability leave under this policy, you may be eligible to receive long-term disability (LTD) benefits if you elected LTD benefits coverage, under the terms of JPMorgan Chase's LTD benefit plan." See Exhibit "26" at p. 4; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".

- 74. The Disability Leave Policy provides that, when an employee is approved for long-term disability benefits, the employee will be placed on LTD employment status. See Exhibit "26" at p. 4; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- 75. The Disability Leave Policy provides that, when an employee's position is eliminated during an approved disability leave, the employee's employment termination date typically will be deferred until the employee's disability leave has ended. See Exhibit "26" at p. 6; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- 76. The Disability Leave Policy stated that "[w]hile you are on a disability leave you may continue many of the benefits you elected provided you make the necessary contributions." See Exhibit "26" at p. 7; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".
- 77. The Disability Leave Policy stated that "[your employment may be terminated if it is determined that you, either before or during your leave, committed a material violation of the firm's Code of Conduct or a major violation of any JPMorgan Chase policies." See Exhibit "26" at p. 7; see also Exhibits "27" and "28".

Pinsky's Disability Leave

- 78. Pinsky submitted documentation regarding her back condition from her physician to the Bank's Medical Department on or around April 4, 2005. See Exhibit "13".
- 79. On June 8, 2005, Pinsky advised Lakhani and Zimmer: "Due to the deterioration of my back condition (as shown in my MRI), my physicians have instructed that I cease to work immediately.... I intend to finish working at the end of next week as planned, working half to full days." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 18; Exhibit "29".
- 80. That same day, Zimmer replied: "If you have been directed by your physician to cease work immediately, I need to ask that you comply with his directive. Please finalize

anything that you are working on and we will process a request for a leave of absence. I will ask that Dee begin your Leave of Absence effective immediately." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 18; Exhibit "30".

Document 41

- 81. As a result of her back condition, Pinsky commenced a short-term disability leave of absence from her employment with the Bank beginning on or around June 13, 2005. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 20; Exhibit "31" at p. 1; Pinsky 7.
- Pinsky was approved for, and received, short-term disability benefits for the 82. period from June 13, 2005 through December 11, 2005. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 20; Exhibit "31" at p. 1.
- Pinsky elected long-term disability coverage under the Bank's employee benefit 83. plan for the 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007 benefits years. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 12.
- 84. Effective December 12, 2005, Pinsky was approved by The Hartford for longterm disability benefits. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 12; Exhibit "31" at p. 1; Exhibit "32"; Pinsky 8.
- Pinsky was placed on LTD leave status as of December 12, 2005. See Exhibit 85. "31" at p. 1.
- On July 10, 2007, Pinsky's surgeon cleared her to return to work. See Lieberman 86. Aff. at ¶ 21; Exhibit "33"; Pinsky 9.
- On August 9, 2007, The Hartford, the long-term disability insurance carrier for 87. the Bank's long-term disability policy, advised Pinsky that her long-term disability benefits were being terminated as of July 10, 2007 based on information submitted by Pinsky's health care providers. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 21 and Exhibit "34".

- 88. Since Pinsky's long-term disability benefits had been terminated, on September 13 and 14, 2007, Defendant paid Pinsky ten (10) weeks of severance pursuant to the Severance Pay Plan. See Jelinski Aff. at ¶ 17; Exhibit "35".
- 89. Pinsky elected medical, dental, and vision coverage for the 2006 and 2007 benefits years under the Bank's benefit plan. See Exhibit "52" ¶ 58.
- 90. Under the Bank's benefit plan, medical, dental, and vision coverage are paid in part by the employee and in part by the Bank. See Exhibit "52" ¶ 59.
- 91. The Bank has paid for medical, dental, and vision coverage for Pinsky for the 2006 and 2007 benefits years. See Exhibit "52" ¶ 60.

The JPMorgan Chase & Co. Code of Conduct

- 92. JPMorgan Chase & Co. has a firm-wide Code of Conduct. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 22 and Exhibits "36", "37", and "38".
- 93. The Code of Conduct applies to all employees, including those on disability leaves. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 23; Exhibits "36" at § 1.1, "37" at § 1.1, and "38" at § 1.1.
- 94. Pinsky understood that she still was an employee of the Bank, even though on disability leave. Pinsky 10.
- 95. Every JPMorgan Chase employee's compliance with the Code of Conduct and with other applicable policies and procedures also is a term and condition of his/her employment by JPMorgan Chase. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 24; Exhibits "36" at § 1.3, "37" at § 1.3, and "38" at § 1.3.
- 96. The Code of Conduct was and is available to all employees on the Firm's intranet website. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 25; Exhibits "36" at § 1.6, "37" at § 1.6, and "38" at § 1.6.

Section 6.3.2 of the November 2004 Code of Conduct, in effect from November 97. 2004 through February 2006, provided that:

"Subject to the exclusions listed below, you are required to pre-clear:

- any outside activity for which you will be paid, including a second job.
- whether or not you will be paid, any affiliation with another business as a director, officer, advisory board member, general partner, owner, consultant, holder of 5% or more of the business' voting equity interests, or in any similar position." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 26 and Exhibit "36".
- Section 6.3.2 of the February 2006 Code of Conduct, in effect from February 98. 2006 through February 2007, provided that:

"Subject to the exclusions listed below, you are required to pre-clear:

- any outside activity for which you will be paid, including a second job. (i)
- whether or not you will be paid, any affiliation with another business as a (ii) director, officer, advisory board member, general partner, owner, consultant, holder of 5% or more of the business' voting equity interests, or in any similar position." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 27 and Exhibit "37".
- Section 6.3.2 of the February 2007 Code of Conduct, in effect from February 99. 2007 to the present, provides that:

"Subject to the exclusions listed below, you are required to pre-clear:

- any outside activity for which you will be paid, including a second job. (i)
- whether or not you will be paid, any affiliation with another business as a (ii) director, officer, advisory board member, general partner, owner, consultant, holder of 5% or

more of the business' voting equity interests, or in any similar position." See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 28 and Exhibit "38".

- 100. All JPMorgan Chase employees are required to affirm their understanding of, and compliance with, the Code of Conduct on a recurring basis. See Lieberman Aff. ¶ 29; Exhibits "36" at § 1.7, "37" at § 1.7, and "38" at § 1.7.
- On December 18, 2002, October 21, 2003, and March 3, 2005, Pinsky affirmed 101. that she "read, under[stood], and am in compliance with the" Code of Conduct. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 29 and Exhibits "39", "40", and "41"; Pinsky 2.
- 102. Pinsky testified that she was not familiar with, and did not understand, the requirements of the Code of Conduct. Pinsky 2 and 3.

Pinsky's Relationship with Tri-State Biodiesel LLC Violated the Code of Conduct

- On April 20, 2007, Defendant learned that Pinsky was identified as being 103. associated with Tri-State Biodiesel LLC ("Tri-State"), an alternative fuel company. See Jelinski Aff. ¶ 13.
- According to Tri-State's website as of April 20, 2007, "Susan Pinsky, Chief 104. Administration Officer - Susan recently left her position as an Assistant Treasurer at JP Morgan Chase to join the Tri-State Biodiesel team.... Her expertise in management and her leadership skills have made her the perfect fit to build the corporate structure of our company. She currently manages all the City and State Licensing, the Company registrations and the financials." See Jelinski Aff. ¶ 14; Exhibit "42"; Pinsky 14.
- No later than July 9, 2007, Tri-State changed its entry regarding Pinsky by 105. deleting the reference to JPMorgan Chase, but otherwise leaving the description of Pinsky's relationship to Tri-State unchanged. See Jelinski Aff. ¶ 15; Exhibit "43"; Pinsky 15.

- 106. Pinsky testified that she helped "build the corporate structure of the company of Tri-State Biodiesel", and completed paperwork for, and managed, "monthly or quarterly reports" and "all the City and State licensing companies, registration and the financials." Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 31; Pinsky 12.
- 107. Pinsky also was and is an investor in Tri-State Biodiesel LLC. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 32; Exhibit "44"; Pinsky 10.
- 108. On March 5, 2007, Pinsky executed her Subscription Agreement with Tri-State Biodiesel LLC, stating in handwriting that her title was "Chief Administration Officer". See Exhibit "44" at p. 8, Pinsky 13.
- 109. Pinsky testified that she was Tri-State's corporate secretary and an officer of the company. Pinsky 14.
- 110. Pinsky neither requested, nor received, any pre-clearance from the Bank regarding her performance of services for Tri-State Biodiesel, despite being required to do so by the JPMorgan Chase Code of Conduct. See Wells Aff. at ¶ 4; Pinsky 15.
- 111. Pinsky neither requested, nor received, any pre-clearance from the Bank regarding her investments in Tri-State Biodiesel, despite being required to do so by the JPMorgan Chase Code of Conduct. See Wells Aff. at ¶ 5; Pinsky 15.

This Action

- 112. On or about February 6, 2006 Pinsky filed a Complaint of Discrimination with the New York State Division of Human Rights. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 34 and Exhibit "45".
- 113. On March 27, 2007, Pinsky filed her Complaint in this action in New York State Supreme Court, asserting claims of disability discrimination under the New York State Human

Rights Law and the New York City Human Rights Law. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 38 and Exhibit "46".

- Plaintiff's Complaint was served on Defendant on March 28, 2007. See 114. Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 35.
- On April 26, 2007, Defendant removed this action from New York State Supreme 115. Court, New York County, to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 36.
- On April 26, 2007, the New York State Division of Human Rights issued an 116. Annulment Determination regarding Pinsky's Complaint of Discrimination. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 37 and Exhibit "47".
- 117. On May 15, 2007, Defendant filed its Answer to Pinsky's Complaint. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 38 and Exhibit "48".
- On June 1, 2007, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission issued a 118. Dismissal and Notice of Rights to Plaintiff. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 39 and Exhibit "49".
- On June 4, 2007, Defendant filed its Amended Answer and Counterclaims to 119. Pinsky's Complaint. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 38 and Exhibit 50".
- On September 19, 2007, Pinsky filed a First Amended Complaint in this action, 120. asserting an additional claim of disability discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Act. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 40 and Exhibit 51".
- According to the allegations of the Amended Complaint, all of Plaintiff's claims 121. accrued during a period between February 24, 2005 (when she claims to have first requested a reasonable accommodation) and June 13, 2005 (when she began her leave of absence). See Exhibit "51" at ¶¶ 9-16.

122. On January 30, 2008, Defendant filed its Answer and Counterclaims to Pinsky's Amended Complaint. See Lieberman Aff. at ¶ 40 and Exhibit "52".

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court (1) grant its motion for summary judgment; (2) dismiss this action in its entirety with prejudice; (3) find Plaintiff liable to Defendant as to each of Defendant's counter-claims; (4) grant Defendant its costs and attorneys' fees; and (4) grant such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 31, 2008

JPMORGAN CHASE LEGAL AND COMPLIANCE DEPARTMENT

By:

Frederic L. Lieberman

Attorneys for Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

One Chase Manhattan Plaza, 26th Floor

New York, New York 10081

(212) 552-1815

frederic.l.lieberman@jpmchase.com